### Estimation of Soil Loss Under Changing Climatic Scenarios in Semi-Arid Watersheds

#### K.V. Rao, R. Rejani\*, P. Yogitha, M. Osman, G.R. Chary, K. Sammi Reddy and Ch. Srinivasa Rao

ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad-500 059, Telangana

Email: rrejani10@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** Spatial and temporal estimation of soil loss is very essential for the sustainable planning and management of watersheds. In the present study, an attempt was made to estimate the soil loss spatially and temporally using RUSLE from a dry semi-arid watershed (Goparajpalli in Warangal District) and a wet semi-arid watershed (Seethagondi in Adilabad District) under changing climatic scenarios using PRECIS data. In the dry semi-arid watershed, the annual rainfall varied from 390 to 1181 mm with a mean value of 735 mm and a mean erosivity of 6260 MJ mm/ha/h/y. The mean annual rainfall during the base line period (1961-1990), mid (2021-2050) and end centuries (2071-2098) in this watershed were 738, 835 and 777 mm, respectively. The mean erosivity during these periods were 5657, 9253 and 7170 MJ mm/ha/h/y and soil loss from crop land were 2.39, 4.02 and 3.14 t/ha/y, respectively. In the wet semi-arid watershed, the annual rainfall during base line, mid and end centuries in this wet semi-arid watershed were 956,1088 and 1124 mm and erosivities were 10547,14437 and 14755 MJ mm/ha/h/y, respectively. Similarly, the soil loss from crop land during these periods were 9.18, 13.11 and 14.11 t/ha/y. Even though, the soil loss from the dry semi-arid watershed was relatively lower than the wet semi-arid watershed, it showed an increasing trend in the mid century and a decreasing trend in the end century whereas, in the wet semi-arid watershed, it showed an increasing trend in both mid and end centuries. Considerable spatial variation in the mean annual soil loss was observed in both the wet and dry semi-arid watersheds during base line period, mid and end centuries.

Key words: Climate change, GIS, RUSLE, semi-arid watershed and soil loss

#### Introduction

Soil erosion results in 85% of the land degradation in the world and causing 17% reduction in crop productivity (Vaezi et al., 2010). Land degradation resulting from soil erosion from water is a serious problem in India which results in many economic problems. In India, 53% of total geographical area suffers from soil erosion with an average rate of 16 t/ha/y (Pandey et al., 2009; Prasannakumar et al., 2011). The intensive cultivation and socio-economic pressure for more land for feeding the increasing population have accelerated the rate of soil erosion on sloping lands (Shi et al., 2004). The soil erosion removes the fertile top soil and organic matter from the soil surface which in turn affect the soil fertility and the reduction of crop yields (Ismail and Ravichandran, 2008). In semi-arid countries like India, the decline in soil fertility brings a series of negative impacts of environmental problems, and has become a threat to sustainable agricultural production and water quality in this region (Prasanna kumar et al., 2012).

The spatial estimation of runoff and soil loss are essential for evaluating the risk of sediment transport and sustainable planning of *in-situ* and *ex-situ* soil and water conservation interventions for management of watersheds (Rejani *et al.*, 2016a; Rejani *et al.*, 2015a). The estimation of soil loss in sub watersheds were carried out using different prediction techniques (Shrestha, 1997; Dougals, 2006; Van De *et al.*, 2008). Watershed forms a natural boundary to focus on runoff, and hence a systematic assessment of runoff and soil erosion within the watershed would provide reliable information to draw strategies for sustainable development of watershed resources (Balasubramani *et al.*, 2015). The major models applied worldwide to estimate soil loss are Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE), Revised Universal

Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE), Water Erosion Prediction Project (WEPP), Soil Erosion Model for Mediterranean Regions (SEMMED), Soil and Water Assessment tool (SWAT), European Soil Erosion Model (EUROSEM), Agricultural Non-Point Source Pollution Model (AGNPS) etc. Among these, USLE and RUSLE are widely used to predict long term average annual soil loss using rainfall, soil type, topography, crop systems and management practices. RUSLE helps to predict the soil erosion from unguaged watersheds at reasonable cost and better accuracy by considering the hydro climatic conditions and spatial heterogeneity of the soil (Angima *et al.*, 2003). The RUSLE has been widely adopted for soil loss estimation at watershed scale because of its convenience in computation and application (Balasubramani *et al.*, 2015).

The impacts of climate change are global, but countries like India are more vulnerable in view of the high population depending on agriculture. The changes in the intensity of rainfall and prolonged dry spells attributes to the climate change effects in Indian agriculture (Srinivasarao et al., 2014). In India, the surface temperature is predicted to increase by 2 to 40°C (Ranuzzi and Srivastava, 2012). Also, changes in the distribution and frequency of rainfall, decrease in the number of rainy days, increase in rainfall intensities and intensity of cyclonic storms are also projected by 2030. The soil erosion rates may be expected to change in response to changes in the erosive power of rainfall (Nearing, 2001; Nearing et al., 2004) and changes in plant biomass. The prediction of future soil erosion can help in the management of valuable cropland by suggesting the need for changing soil conservation strategies (Neal et al., 2005). The objective of the study is to estimate the impact of climate change on soil erosion rates in a dry semi-arid watershed and wet semi -arid watershed for its sustainable planning and management.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study Area

The study area consists of a dry semi-arid watershed in Goparajpalli and a wet semi-arid watershed in Seethagondi. Goparajpalli watershed, covers an area of 1660 ha, lies in the Warangal District of Telangana in Southern India (Figure 1) and lies between 17°46' and 17°50' N latitude and 79°4' and 79°8' E longitude (Rejani et al., 2015b). Seethagondi watershed cluster having an area of 3671 ha is located in the Adilabad District of Telangana state, lies between 78° 29'3" to 78° 33'35" E longitude and 19º 31'40" to 19º 36'58" N latitude (Figure 1). Goparajpalli watershed lies in Krishna basin and Seethagondi watershed cluster lies in the Godavari river basin. The mean annual rainfall of the Goparajpalli watershed is around 735 mm with 80% of its contribution during southwest monsoon (June to September). The area is characterized by moderate to very deep soil with clayey and cracking clayey texture with major portion having slopes below 5% (Rejani et al., 2016b).

Seethagondi watershed cluster is having a mean annual rainfall of 950 mm and major portion of cluster is characterized by clayey textured soil with moderate to severe erosion and the depth of the soil ranged from moderately shallow to deep soil (Rejani *et al.*, 2016a). This watershed has undulated topography and average land slope varied from 0 to 10 degrees with maximum slope upto 36 degrees. The undulated topography coupled with the sensitive soil makes the cluster erosion prone. Both watersheds are prone to weather shocks like drought/deficient rainfall and delayed monsoon. Changing and increasingly variable climate is recognized as a potential threat to agriculture and food security in these watersheds. In view of this, the present work is focused on the estimation of soil loss under changing climatic scenarios for the sustainable planning and management of the two semiarid watersheds.

#### Thematic layers

Different thematic layers were generated to estimate the soil loss spatially. Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) Digital Elevation Model (DEM) (30 m resolution) was used to delineate the watersheds using Hydro tool in ARCGIS (Rejani *et al.*, 2014a). The land use land cover (LULC) map of National Remote Sensing Centre (NRSC) Hyderabad, Aphrodite daily rainfall grid data (0.25° x 0.25°) for the period 1951 to 2007 and soil map of National Bureau of Soil Science and Land Use Planning (NBSS & LUP) was also used. The flow accumulation map and the slope map was generated.

## Soil erosion estimation using Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE)

A quantitative assessment of average annual soil loss in the watershed was made with GIS based RUSLE equation (Eqn. 1) considering rainfall, soil, land use and topographic datasets. The different thematic layers were clipped with watershed boundaries and intersected in ARCGIS 10.

The RUSLE equation was incorporated in GIS and soil loss was estimated spatially (Rejani *et al.*, 2016a) (Figure 2a).

$$A = R K L S C P \tag{1}$$

where A = average annual soil loss (t/ha/y); R is the rainfallrunoff erosivity factor (MJ mm/ha/h/y) (Eqn.2); K is the soil erodability factor (t ha h/ha/MJ/mm); LS is the slope lengthsteepness factor (dimensionless) (Eqn.3); C is the cover management factor (dimensionless, ranging between 0 and 1.5); and P is the conservation practices factor (dimensionless, ranging between 0 and 1).

The rainfall runoff erosivity factor (R-Factor) quantifies the effect of rainfall impact and also reflects the amount and rate of runoff likely to be associated with precipitation events. The equation for R-factor for daily soil loss developed at CRIDA, Hyderabad was used. In the present study 57 years (1951-2007) rainfall data of the watersheds was used.

R factor was derived with the procedure described below (Rejani *et al.*, 2016a):

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} EI_{30}}{n} x 1000/200.6$$
(2)

where R = average annual erosion index (MJ mm/ha/h/y); i = number of years; j = number of days per year, i; EI30 = rainfall erosivity at 30 minutes per day (hundreds t cm/ha/h)

$$EI_{30} = 34.065 EI_{1440} - 0.2695 (R^2=0.83)$$
 (3)

where, EI1440 = erosion index per day (hundreds t cm ha/h)

$$EI_{1440} = 3.856 PI_{1440} - 0.0048 \quad (R^2 = 0.89) \qquad (4)$$

where, PI1440 = daily precipitation index,  $cm^2/h$ 

$$PI_{1440} = (\text{Rainfall})^2 / 24$$
 (5)

where rainfall is in cm.

The daily R value obtained was converted to monthly and annual values and the mean annual erosvity for 57 years was obtained. The value of K for the selected area was 0.015 (Reddy *et al.*, 2005).

The LS factor for RUSLE was computed based on flow accumulation and slope steepness (Tirkey *et al.*, 2013; Rejani *et al.*, 2014b). The LS factor was derived in this study using Eqn.6,

$$LS = \left[\frac{\text{Flow accumulation } * \text{ cell value}}{22.1}\right]^{m} (0.065 + 0.045s + 0.0065s^{2}) \quad (6)$$

where s = slope of the DEM in degrees and m is a constant depends on slope

For slopes of DEM  $\leq$  3, m = 0.3; slopes >3 and <5, m = 0.4 and slopes  $\geq$  5, m = 0.5

The values of C was derived from MODIS NDVI of 16 days interval (2004 to 2012). C factor was estimated using the methodology [Van der Knijff *et al.*, 2000] given below (Eqn. 7):

$$C = \exp\left(-\propto \frac{NDVI}{\beta - NDVI}\right) \tag{7}$$



Fig. 1 : Map of the study area

where,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the parameters that determine the shape of the NDVI-C curve. The 10-daily NDVI composites were averaged into monthly images to make monthly estimates of C factors for normal and dry years during the selected period.  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\beta = 1$  gives reasonable results. The years were classified into normal and dry years based on rainfall. Corresponding C factors derived for normal and dry years were used. P factor was selected based on the conservation practices (Reddy *et al.*, 2005) and assumed to be same for baseline period, mid and end centuries.

#### Estimation of soil loss under changing climatic scenarios

In their study, erosion rates were computed for historic, current, and future conditions using RUSLE (Figure 2a). The daily precipitation data predicted for base line (1961-1990), midcentury (2021-2050) and end century (2071-2098) of PRECIS model was used.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Spatial variation of soil loss

Considerable variation of soil loss was observed across the wet semi-arid watershed compared to dry semi-arid watershed. The soil loss from wet semi-arid watershed ranged from 0.2 to 80.0 t/ha/y with major portion below 20.0 t/ha/y (moderate to severe erosion) (Figure 2b) whereas the soil loss from dry semi-arid watershed ranged from 0.0 to 34.1 t/ha/y with major portion below 5.0 t/ha/y (slight erosion) (Figure 3).



Fig. 2b : Spatial variation of soil loss during normal year at Seethagondi



Fig. 3 : Spatial variation of soil loss during normal year at Goparajpalli watershed

# Rainfall, erosivity and soil loss under changing climatic scenarios

In the dry semi-arid watershed, the annual rainfall varied from 390 to 1181 mm with a mean value of 735 mm and a mean erosivity of 6260 MJ mm/ha/h/y (Aphrodite, 1951 to 2007). The mean annual rainfall during the base line period (1961-1990), mid (2021-2050) and end centuries (2071-2098) in this watershed were 738, 835 and 777 mm and mean erosivity were 5657, 9253 and 7170 MJ mm/ha/h/y, respectively and it varied considerably across the years (Figure 4a-c). The soil loss from crop land during these three periods were 2.39, 4.02 and 3.14 t/ha/y, respectively and it also varied considerably over the years (Figure 5a-c). The erosivity and soil loss in dry semi-arid watershed is predicted to increase in mid century due to high intensity rainfalls and it showed a declining trend in the end century.

In the wet semi-arid watershed, the annual rainfall varied from 508 to 1351 mm with a mean value of 950 mm and a mean erosivity of 6789 MJ mm/ha/h/y (Aphrodite data, 1951-2007). The mean annual rainfall during base line, mid and end centuries in this wet semi-arid watershed were 956,1088 and 1124 mm and erosivity were 10547,14437 and 14755 MJ mm/ha/h/y, respectively and it varied considerably across the years (Figure 4a-c). Similarly, the soil loss from crop land during these periods were 9.18, 13.11 and 14.11 t/ha/y and it also varied considerably across the years (Figure 5a-c). The erosivity and soil loss are predicted to increase in mid and end centuries due to high intensity rainfalls in this watershed. Even though, the soil loss from the dry semi-arid watershed was relatively lower than the

wet semi-arid watershed, it showed an increasing trend in the mid century and a decreasing trend in the end century whereas, in the wet semi-arid watershed, it showed an increasing trend in both mid and end centuries. Considerable variation in the mean annual soil loss was observed in both the wet and dry semi-arid watersheds during base line period, mid and end centuries.



Fig. 4a : Temporal variation of rainfall and erosivity during baseline period at Goparajpalli and Seethagondi



Fig. 4b :Temporal variation of rainfall and erosivity during mid century at Goparajpalli and Seethagondi



Fig. 2a : Flow chart for soil erosion estimation



Fig. 4c : Temporal variation of rainfall and erosivity during end century at Goparajpalli and Seethagondi



Fig. 5a : Temporal variation of soil loss during baseline period at Goparajpalli and Seethagondi







Fig. 5c : Temporal variation of soil loss during end century at Goparajpalli and Seethagondi

#### Conclusions

Spatial estimation of soil loss is very essential for planning interventions in watersheds. The temporal and spatial variation of soil loss under changing climatic scenarios gives an idea to plan interventions for future sustainability. Considerable temporal and spatial variation in the mean annual soil loss was observed in both wet and dry semi-arid watersheds. The soil loss in dry semi-arid watershed is predicted to increase in mid century due to high intensity rainfalls and it showed a declining trend in the end century due to less intensity rainfalls. In wet semi-arid watershed, the soil loss is predicted to increase in both mid and end centuries due to high intensity rainfalls.

#### Refernces

- Angima SD, Stott DE, O'neill MK, Ong CK and Weesies GA. 2003. Soil erosion prediction using RUSLE for central Kenyan highland conditions. Agriculture, ecosystems & environment, 97(1): 295-308.
- Balasubramani K, Veena M, Kumaraswamy K and Saravanabavan V. 2015. Estimation of soil erosion in a semi-arid watershed of Tamil Nadu (India) using revised universal soil loss equation (RUSLE) model through GIS. Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 1(3): 1-17.
- Ismail J and Ravichandran S. 2008. RUSLE2 model application for soil erosion assessment using remote sensing and GIS. Water resources management, 22(1): 83-102.
- Nearing MA, Pruski FF and O'neal MR. 2004. Expected climate change impacts on soil erosion rates: a review. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 59(1): 43-50.
- Nearing MA. 2001. Potential changes in rainfall erosivity in the US with climate change during the 21st century. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 56(3): 229-232.
- O'Neal MR, Nearing MA, Vining RC, Southworth J and Pfeifer RA. 2005. Climate change impacts on soil erosion in Midwest United States with changes in crop management. Catena, 61(2): 165-184.
- Pandey A, Mathur A, Mishra SK and Mal BC. 2009. Soil erosion modeling of a Himalayan watershed using RS and GIS. Environmental Earth Sciences, 59(2): 399-410.
- Prasannakumar V, Vijith H, Abinod S and Geetha N. 2012. Estimation of soil erosion risk within a small mountainous sub-watershed in Kerala, India, using Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) and geo-information technology. Geoscience frontiers, 3(2): 209-215.
- Prasannakumar V, Vijith H, Geetha N and Shiny R. 2011. Regional scale erosion assessment of a sub-tropical highland segment in the Western Ghats of Kerala, South India. Water resources management, 25(14): 3715-3727.
- Ranuzzi A and Srivastava R. 2012. Impact of climate change on agriculture and food security. ICRIER Policy series, 16.
- Reddy RS, Nalatwadmath SK and Krishnan P. 2005. Soil Erosion Andhra Pradesh. NBSS Publ. No. 114, NBSS&LUP, Nagpur, India, 76 pp.

- Rejani R, Rao KV Srinivasarao Ch, Osman M, George BA, Sammi Reddy K, Chary GR, Swamy MV and Rao PJ. 2016b. Identification of potential rainwater harvesting sites for the sustainable management of a semi-arid watershed of Southern India, Irrigation and Drainage (ICID Bulletin) (Accepted).
- Rejani R, Rao KV, Osman M, Chary GR, Puspanjali Sammi Reddy K and Ch. Srinivasa Rao. 2015a. Location specific identification of insitu soil and water conservation interventions for sustainable management of drylands. Journal of Agrometeorology, 17(1), 55-60. http://www.agrimetassociation.org/abstract/June-2015/ Paper 9.pdf
- Rejani R, Rao KV, Osman M, Chary GR, Puspanjali and Pratyusha G. 2014b. Spatial estimation of soil loss from Amistapur microwatershed in Mahabubnagar district of Telengana. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Hydrology and Watershed Management, Vol.1, pp. 503-507, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad, Allied Publishers Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India.
- Rejani R, Rao KV, Osman M, Chary GR, Pushpanjali, Sammi Reddy K and Srinivasarao Ch. 2015b. Spatial and temporal estimation of runoff in a semi-arid micro-watershed of Southern India, Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 187(8): 1-16.DOI 10.1007/s10661-015-4772-3.
- Rejani R, Rao KV, Osman M, Srinivasa Rao Ch, Sammi Reddy K, Chary G.R, Josily Samuel and Pushpanjali 2016a. Spatial and temporal estimation of soil loss for the sustainable management of a wet semi-arid watershed cluster. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment,188(3): 1-16, DOI 10.1007/s10661-016-5143-4.

- Rejani R, Rao KV, Prathyusha Kranthi GS, Osman M and Pushpanjali 2014a. SRTM and ASTER DEM applications for watershed delineation and runoff estimation – A case study from Odisha and Kerala. Compendium of the National Seminar on 'Spatial Technologies for Watershed Planning', Kerala State Land Use Board, Vikas Bhavan, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala: pp: 27-39.
- Renard KG, Foster GR, Weesies GA and Porter JP. 1991. Rusle -Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 46(1):30-33.
- Shi ZH, Cai CF, Ding SW, Wang TW and Chow TL. 2004. Soil conservation planning at the small watershed level using RUSLE with GIS: a case study in the Three Gorge Area of China. Catena, 55(1): 33-48.
- Srinivasarao Ch, Rejani R and Prasannakumar 2014. Climate resilient water management practices for improving water use efficiency and sustaining crop productivity. Proceedings of the National Workshop on Climate Change and Water—improving water use efficiency, WALAMTARI Hyderabad, India, pp. 9–30.
- Tirkey AS, Pandey AC and Nathawat MS. 2013 Use of satellite data, GIS and RUSLE for estimation of average annual soil loss in Daltonganj watershed of Jharkhand (India). Journal of Remote Sensing Technology, 1(1): 20-30
- Vaezi AR, Bahrami HA, Sadeghi SHR and Mahdian MH. 2010. Spatial variability of soil erodibility factor (K) of the USLE in North West of Iran. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 12, 241-252.
- Vander Knijff JM, Jones RJA and Montanarella L. 2000. Soil erosion risk assessment in Europe. http://eusoils.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ESDB\_ Archive/pesera/pesera\_cd/pdf/ereurnew2.pdf

Received: December 2015; Accepted: May 2016